# Authentic Leadership Without Oversharing

Leadership - 3 November 2025 - By Dr. Amitabh Kumar

## A concept that gets misapplied

Authentic leadership is frequently reduced to "say whatever you think" or "share everything you're feeling with your team." Taken this literally, it can undermine a leader's effectiveness, unfiltered venting is not the same as honest leadership, and oversharing personal struggles can shift the emotional burden onto a team that is not equipped, or paid, to carry it.

A more useful definition: authentic leadership means your outward behaviour is consistent with your actual values and judgement, not that every internal thought or feeling is shared in real time.

## What authenticity actually requires

Consistency between words and actions. People trust leaders whose decisions align with what they say they value, even when that consistency is inconvenient. This is the core of authenticity, far more than disclosure.

Owning mistakes without performing shame. Authentic leaders acknowledge errors directly and move to correction, rather than either denying the mistake or over-apologising in a way that centres their own discomfort.

Being honest about uncertainty, within limits. Saying "I don't yet know how this will resolve, but here is how we're approaching it" is authentic and useful. Sharing every private doubt with the team, especially doubts that are not yet resolved in the leader's own mind, often creates anxiety without adding value.

## Where the boundary should sit

A helpful filter: before sharing something personal or uncertain with the team, ask whether it serves them, builds trust, provides useful context, or models a helpful behaviour, or whether it mainly serves the leader's own need to be understood or supported. The latter is legitimate, but it belongs with a peer, a coach, or a mentor, not with the direct reports who depend on the leader's steadiness.

## Authenticity across different audiences

A common worry is that adjusting communication style for different audiences, more formal with the board, more informal with the team, is inauthentic. It is not, provided the underlying values and message stay consistent. Authenticity is about integrity of substance, not uniformity of style. A leader who says the same true thing in different ways to different audiences is still being authentic; a leader who says different, conflicting things to please each audience is not.

## Why this distinction matters

Leaders who understand authenticity this way tend to build deep trust without becoming a source

of emotional labour for their teams. They are honest, consistent and human, while still holding the professional boundaries that allow them to lead effectively under pressure.