The Time Management Trap: Why Busy Leaders Get Less Done

Time Management - 12 May 2026 - By Dr. Amitabh Kumar

Busy is not the same as effective

Many senior leaders wear a full calendar as a kind of proof: proof of importance, proof of contribution, proof that they are needed. But a packed schedule is often a symptom of a deeper problem, an unclear sense of what actually deserves the leader's time, rather than evidence of effectiveness. The busiest leaders in an organisation are frequently not the most impactful ones.

The trap is subtle. Every individual meeting, request, or task usually seems reasonable in isolation. It is the accumulation, and the absence of a clear filter for saying no, that erodes a leader's capacity to do the small number of things that actually move the organisation forward.

Three questions that reveal the real problem

What am I doing that someone else could do almost as well? Many leaders continue doing tasks that made sense at an earlier stage of their career but no longer reflect the highest use of their time. Letting go of this work is often more about identity than logistics; it can feel like giving up a source of competence and control.

What am I avoiding by staying busy? Full calendars can be a comfortable way to avoid the harder, more ambiguous work of strategic thinking, difficult conversations, or decisions with no clear right answer. Reactive busyness sometimes serves as a shield against this discomfort.

What would I do with reclaimed time, specifically? Time management advice often stops at "protect time for strategic thinking" without addressing what a leader would actually do with it. Without a specific answer, reclaimed time tends to get filled with more meetings within days.

Why classic time management advice often falls short

Prioritisation matrices and colour-coded calendars can help at the margins, but they rarely address the underlying dynamic: many leaders derive part of their sense of value from being needed, responsive, and available. Until that dynamic is examined, new time management systems tend to get absorbed into the same pattern within a few weeks.

A more durable approach

Instead of trying to manage time in the abstract, it helps to work backwards from outcomes. What are the two or three things that, if done well this quarter, would matter most for the organisation and for your own development as a leader? Time spent on those things is protected first; everything else is evaluated against them, not the other way around.

This also means becoming comfortable with visible trade-offs: declining meetings that do not require your presence, delegating decisions that do not require your judgement specifically, and

being transparent with your team about what you are choosing not to do, and why. Leaders who make these trade-offs explicit tend to face less resentment than those who simply become unavailable without explanation.

The underlying shift

Time management, at the executive level, is rarely a scheduling problem. It is a clarity problem: about what matters, what you are avoiding, and what you are willing to let go of. Once that clarity exists, the calendar tends to follow, and protecting it becomes far more natural.